FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP
600 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 2400
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701-3271
www.fulbright.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE:

November 1, 2002

MATTER NUMBER:

10008123/ARSB:509--1

RECIPIENT(S):	FAX No.:	PHONE No.:
EXAMINER JEANINE A. GOLDBERG UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	(703) 872-9305	

١		4	3	7	£	o	7	
r.	7	и.	ĸ.	•	n	ю		1

FROM:

Thomas M. Boyce

USER ID:

TB10007

FLOOR:

20

PHONE:

(512) 536-3043

FAX:

(512) 536-4598

RE:

Serial No. 08/674,311 "METHYLTHIOADENOSINE PHOSPHORYLASE

COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS OF USE IN THE DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT

OF PROLIFERATIVE DISORDERS"

Number of Pages with Cover Page:

5

Originals Will Not Follow

Message:	 	

CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY ALSO CONTAIN PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT INFORMATION OR WORK PRODUCT. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USB OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT. YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY USE. DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRUCTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THE FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE OMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

PATENT CO

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Olufunmilayo I. Olopade

Serial No.: 08/674,311

Filed: July 1, 1996

For: METHYLTHIOADENOSINE

PHOSPHORYLASE COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS OF USE IN THE DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF PROLIFERATIVE DISORDERS Group Art Unit: 1634

Examiner: Jeanine A. Goldberg

Atty. Dkt. No.: ARSB:509-1/TMB

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION 37 C.F.R. § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, Attn: Examiner Jeanine A. Goldberg, GAU 1634, facsimile number (703) 872-9305 on the date below:

November 1, 2002 Date

Thomas M. Boyn

STATEMENTS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEWS PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(B)

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Office Communication (the Communication") dated 17 October 2002, which contained the Examiner's Interview Summary (form PTO-413) of an interview conducted 3 October 2002, for which Applicant's statement of the substance of the interview is due 3 November 2002. Appellants respectfully submit the following statement of the substances of the telephonic interviews conducted on 3 October 2002 and 8 October 2002.

25227647.1

Appellants believe no fee is due. However, should a fee be required for any reason relating to the present application, please consider this paragraph a request and authorization to withdraw the appropriate fee under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 to 1.21 from Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. Account No.: 50-1212/ARSB:509--1.

STATEMENTS OF THE SUBSTANCES OF INTERVIEWS PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(B)

Appellants hereby submits statements of the substance of the telephone interview with Examiner Jeanine A. Goldberg conducted on 3 October 2002 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b).

Statement of the Substance of the Interview of 3 October 2002

Participants: Examiner Goldberg and Thomas M. Boyce, Appellants' representative.

Examiner Goldberg telephoned Mr. Boyce on 3 October 2002. Mr. Boyce was not available and Examiner Goldberg left a recorded message at approximately 10:22 am (Central time) to the following effect.

Examiner Goldberg indicated that the examiner who had been handling the present appeal had left and that Examiner Goldberg had "inherited" the appeal brief. Examiner Goldberg indicated that claims 39-46 and 49 were allowable. Claims 50-51 as they stand were indicated as objectionable because of antecedent issues with respect to the phrase "said sequenced" under 35 U.S.C. §112. Claims 52, 53, and 67-73 were indicated to be allowable upon minor amendment to address issues arising under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112.

Further, Examiner Goldberg stated that the present appeal brief is defective in that a new declaration had been added and presented new issues. Examiner Goldberg indicated that a showing of good and sufficient reason why the declaration was not earlier submitted would be required to allow entry of the declaration.

25227647.1